Report Documentation Page

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE **DEC 1992**	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED **00-00-1992 to 00-00-1992**

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE **A Laser-cooled Cesium Fountain F'requerrcy Standard and a Measurement of the Frequency Shift due to Ultra-cold Collisions**	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
	5b. GRANT NUMBER
	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)	5d. PROJECT NUMBER
	5e. TASK NUMBER
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) **Stanford University,Physics Department,Stanford,CA,94305-4060**	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
See also ADA267301. 24th Annual Precise Time and Time Interval (PTTI) Applications and Planning Meeting, McLean, VA, 1-3 Dec 1992

14. ABSTRACT
see report

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT **unclassified**	b. ABSTRACT **unclassified**	c. THIS PAGE **unclassified**	**Same as Report (SAR)**	**3**	

A Laser–cooled Cesium Fountain Frequency Standard and
a Measurement of the Frequency Shift due to Ultra–cold Collisions

Kurt Gibble, Steven Kasapi, and Steven Chu
Physics Department
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305-4060

Abstract

A frequency standard based on an atomic fountain of cesium atoms may have an accuracy of 10^{-16} due to longer interaction times and smaller anticipated systematic errors. All of the known systematic effects that now limit the accuracy of the Cs frequency standard increase either linearly or as some higher power of the atom's velocity. The one systematic frequency shift which is dramatically different is the frequency shift due to the collisions between the laser cooled atoms. At a temperature of a few μK, the de Broglie wavelength ($\lambda_{deB} = h/p$, where h is Planck's constant and p is the momentum of the atom) is much larger than the scale of the interatomic potential. Under these conditions the collision cross sections can be as large as λ_{deB}^2/π and the frequency shift due to these collisions has been recently calculated[1].

In our Cs atomic fountain, we laser cooled and trapped 10^{10} Cs atoms in 0.4 s[2]. By shifting the frequencies of the laser beams, the atoms were launched upwards at 2.5 m/s and a fraction of the atoms were optically pumped into the F=3 ground state. The unwanted atoms in the F=4 ground state were removed from the fountain with radiation pressure from a laser beam tuned to excite only those atoms. The Cs atoms in the F=3 state traveled ballistically upwards, were excited by the microwave cavity, and then returned back through the same cavity in the atomic fountain configuration[3].

By varying the cold atom density, we measured a density dependent shift of -12.9 ± 0.7 mHz or -1.4 × 10−12 for an average fountain density of (2.7 ± 1.5) 10^9 atoms/cm^3. This measurementwas made with uniformly populated Zeeman sublevels, while only the atoms in the m_F=0 sublevel contributed to the signal. We also made frequency measurements where the atoms in the F=3 $m_F \neq 0$ states were removed since those atoms do not add to the signal but contribute to the frequency shift. A 97% pure m_F=0 state was made in the following way: after cooling the atoms in optical molasses, they are in the F=4 hyperfine level. Atoms from the F=4 m^F=0 state were then transferred to the F=3 m^F=0 state with microwave radiation and the remaining F=4 atoms

in the other Zeeman sublevels were again pushed out of the way with radiation pressure. By varying the number of atoms transferred to the F=3 m_F=0 state, we determined the frequency shift due to the collisions between atoms in the m^F=0 states as a function of density. At a density of $(3.5 \pm 2.0) \times 10^8$ cm^{-3} (where the signal size is comparable), we measured a shift of -5.5 $\pm$ 0.5 mHz.

The extrapolation of the frequency shift to zero density is reproducible with an uncertainty of 4×10^{-14} and can be improved, indicating that it may be possible to control the cold atom density at the 0.1% level and to continuously evaluate the shift at this level.

1. E. Tiesinga, B. J. Verhaar, H. T. C. Stoof, and D. van Bragt, Phys. Rev. A **45**, (1992).

2. K. E. Gibble, S. Kasapi, and S. Chu, Opt. Lett. **17**, 526 (1992).

3. M. A. Kasevich, E. Riis, S. Chu, and R. G. DeVoe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 612 (1989); A. Clairon, C. Salomon, S. Guellati, and W. D. Phillips, Europhys. Lett. **16**, 165 (1991).

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question: You mentioned that density of the atoms is going to have pulling effects. Is it ultimately going to be a stability limitation as well?

K. Gibble, Stanford University: At the moment it looks like we can control the density and is in a sense automatically controlled by the laser cooling to an accuracy of about 1%. That is what we see at the moment. It might in fact be better than that. Clearly, much more evaluation needs to be done but we are encouraged at this point to see where it will go.